

REMARKS

Claims 1-70 are pending in the subject application. In the present Office Action, claims 1-70 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,815,390 to Vaughan et al. ("Vaughan"). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of claims 1-70 as set forth herein.

Independent claims 1, 22, 45, and 58 have been amended herein. Specifically the contacting step of each independent claim has been amended to recite "contacting the fluororous compound and at least one chemical reactant in the non-fluororous medium under conditions that form at least one product " within the body of the claim. This amendment has been made to clarify that the contacting step occurs in a non-fluororous medium. Support for this amendment may be found throughout the specification and in the preamble of each of the claims as originally filed.

Vaughan

To establish a case for *prima facie* obviousness, three basic criteria must be met: a) there must be some suggestion or motivation to modify the reference or to combine the reference teachings; b) there must be a reasonable expectation of success; and c) the prior art reference(s) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. MPEP 2143. Applicants submit that *prima facie* obviousness has not been established for at least the reasons that the cited prior art reference does not teach or suggest all the claim limitations and there is no suggestion or motivation to modify the reference. Further, it is submitted by Applicants that the cited reference teaches away from the claims of the subject application.

The claims of the subject application set forth a method of conducting a chemical reaction in a non-fluororous medium and that the contacting step is performed in a non-fluororous medium. As defined in the specification, a non-fluororous medium is "an organic or inorganic liquid medium, or any mixture thereof, or any liquid medium comprised solely of reactants and/or products ("solvent-free reaction"), or any supercritical fluids." (Paragraph [0021]). A non-fluororous medium does not contain a fluororous solvent. As recited in the specification, "[t]he present invention provides a

significant advance over prior art in that a fluoruous solvent is no longer needed to recover the fluoruous catalyst, reagent, or transformed reagent.” (Paragraph [0009]). Indeed, unlike the prior art, a liquid-liquid fluoruous biphasic system (i.e., a mixture of fluoruous solvent and non-fluoruous solvent) is no longer needed to conduct the reaction when using the processes of the subject application.

According to the Examiner, Vaughan teaches a catalyst system for fluoruous biphasic catalysis process comprising functionalized plastic beads, monodisperse SiO₂ or SiO₂ flakes associated with the catalyst in the fluoruous phase. Vaughan discloses a catalyst system for fluoruous biphasic catalysis processes. (See, for example, Vaughan, lines 4-10 and claim 1). In Vaughan, “the chemistry to be performed in a thin film of liquid adhering to the surface of the beads or SiO₂ particles” and “[a]s a result, a vastly reduced volume of the fluorinated solvent . . . is required.” (Vaughan, column 2, lines 57-63). While Vaughan reduces the amount of fluorinated solvent necessary in the fluoruous liquid-liquid biphasic system, a fluoruous phase is still necessary and fluoruous solvent is still required to coat the surface of the polymer beads or SiO₂ particles. (See also, Vaughan, column 3, lines 20-24). Indeed, the Examiner acknowledges that Vaughan teaches “reactions can be carried out in the fluoruous biphasic system, the simplest version being a two-phase mixture consisting of a perfluorcarbon (PFC) and a non-fluorinated solvent. (Office Action, page 3).

Unlike Vaughan, the process of the subject application allows for conducting a chemical reaction in a non-fluoruous medium using a fluoruous compound (i.e., reactant) including the step “contacting the fluoruous compound and at least one chemical reactant in the non-fluoruous medium under conditions that form at least one product.” The process of the subject application “is advantageous because it eliminates the need for liquid-liquid biphasic systems” and “[t]here is no . . . fluoruous solvent requirements.” (Paragraph [0026], emphasis added). Unlike Vaughan, which requires fluoruous solvents, the reactions of the subject application are conducted without fluoruous solvents (i.e., in a non-fluoruous medium). Thus, Vaughan does not disclose each and every element in the claims of the subject application. Further, Vaughan teaches away from the claimed invention, since Vaughan requires the use of a fluoruous solvent. One having ordinary skill in the art would see no suggestion or motivation in Vaughan to

eliminate a required component of the Vaughan process. Therefore, *prima facie* obviousness has not been established.

Further, according to the MPEP, “the omission of an element and retention of its function is an indicia of unobviousness.” (MPEP 2144.04(II)(B), see also, *In re Edge*, 359 F.2d 896, 149 USPQ 556 (CCPA, 1966) (emphasis in original)). The claims of the subject application omit the Vaughan elements of a fluoruous solvent and the liquid-liquid biphasic system, while retaining the function (i.e., conducting a chemical reaction using a fluoruous reagent). Therefore, Applicants submit that the claimed processes are non-obvious over the disclosure of Vaughan.

Finally, according to the MPEP, “proceeding contrary to accepted wisdom in the art is evidence of nonobviousness.” (MPEP 2145(X)(D)(3), see also, *In re Hedges*, 783 F.2d 1038, 228 USPQ 685 (Fed. Cir. 1986)). As discussed in Vaughan, conventional wisdom for fluoruous reactions requires a liquid-liquid biphasic system of a fluoruous solvent and a non-fluoruous solvent. (See, Vaughan, column 1, line 4 to column 2, line 63). Contrary to the accepted liquid-liquid biphasic systems of the prior art, the processes of the subject application are conducted entirely in a non-fluoruous medium, such as a non-fluoruous solvent, liquid medium comprised solely of reactants and/or products (“solvent-free reaction”), or supercritical fluids. Therefore, the processes of the subject application are nonobvious over Vaughan and the prior art showing liquid-liquid biphasic systems for fluoruous-type reactions.

Applicants have discovered a method for conducting a chemical reaction in a non-fluoruous medium using a fluoruous compound in the presence of a solid adsorbant containing a fluoruous domain. The Vaughan reference does not teach or suggest conducting a fluoruous-type reaction in the absence of a fluoruous solvent (i.e., in a non-fluoruous medium) and only discloses reactions in a liquid-liquid biphasic system which requires a fluoruous solvent. Further, there is no suggestion or motivation to modify Vaughan to eliminate the fluoruous solvent (which Vaughan emphasizes as a “required” component). Finally, evidence of nonobviousness exists, including omission of an element (i.e., the fluoruous solvent) and proceeding contrary to accepted wisdom in the art. Applicants submit that the claims of the subject application are nonobvious over

the Vaughan reference. Applicants respectfully request that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

Applicants submit that claims 1-70 of the subject application recite novel and non-obvious methods of conducting a chemical reaction in a non-fluorous medium using a fluoruous compound in the presence of a solid adsorbant containing a fluoruous domain. In view of the Amendments and Remarks submitted herein, Applicants respectfully submit that all claims in the subject application are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration of the rejection and allowance of all pending claims is earnestly solicited.

If the undersigned can be of assistance to the Examiner in addressing issues to advance the application to allowance, please contact the undersigned at the number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



Joseph L. Kent
Registration No. 54,216

KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART PRESTON GATES ELLIS LLP
Henry W. Oliver Building
535 Smithfield Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2312
Telephone: (412) 355-8315
Facsimile: (412) 355-6501

Customer No. 26,285